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In a recent paper, L. Lorch [1] has refined a standard inequality [2, Theorem 7.33.2, p. 171] for ultraspherical (Gegenbauer) polynomials of degree $n$ and parameter $\alpha$ by proving that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(\cos \theta)\right|<2^{1-x}(n+\alpha)^{x-1}(\sin \theta)^{-x}[\Gamma(\alpha)]^{\prime} \equiv A_{n}(\cos \theta), \quad 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=0,1,2, \ldots ; 0<\alpha<1$. This has been proved previously for the special case of Legendre polynomials, where $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, by V. A. Antonov and K. V. Hols̃evnikov [3] and earlier still by A. Martin [4].

This bound has the disadvantage that it tends to infinity as $\theta \rightarrow 0, \pi$, and this has led the author of [4], in a study of the high energy behaviour of scattering amplitudes at fixed scattering angle, to construct the following "uniform" bound for Legendre polynomials $P_{n}(\cos \theta)$ :
$\left|P_{n}(\cos \theta)\right| \leqslant\left[1+n(n+1)\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right)\right]^{-1 / 4}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots ; 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi$.

There are three nice features of this bound:
(i) It remains finite $(\leqslant 1)$ for all $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi$.
(ii) For fixed $\theta$ in $[0, \pi]$, it has the correct behaviour (up to a constant factor $\left.(\pi / 2)^{1 / 2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(iii) It is very good near $\theta=0$ and $\pi$ since the bound and the function being bounded have the same modulus at these values of $\theta$ and similarly for their first derivatives.

We will follow closely the methods of [4] to extend these results to the case of general ultraspherical polynomials by proving that for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ and all $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(\cos \theta)\right| & \leqslant P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(1)\left[1+\frac{P_{n}^{(x)}(1)^{\prime}}{\beta(\alpha) P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(1)}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right)\right]^{x \cdot 2} \\
& =B_{n}(\cos \theta), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta & =\alpha ; & & 1>\alpha \geqslant 0.065 \\
& =\operatorname{Max}[\alpha, f(\alpha)] ; & & 0.065>\alpha>0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\alpha)=\frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)}\left\{\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)(1+2 \alpha)(2+\alpha)^{1} 2 x}{2^{1-x}}\right]^{2 / x}-0.503\right\}^{-1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound (3) again has the good properties (i)-(iii) except that when $0.065>\alpha>0$ the bound and the polynomial being bounded may not have the same derivative at $\theta=0, \pi$. Also our bounds reduce to the bound given in [4] for $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$. They also lead in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ to the following bound on Bessel functions;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(z)\right| \leqslant|z / 2|^{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\prime}\left\{1+z^{2} /[(2 \alpha+1) \beta(\alpha)]\right\}^{-\alpha / 2}, \quad 0<\alpha<1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all real $z$ where $\beta(\alpha)$ is defined in (4).

## 1. Bounds Near $\theta=0$ and $\pi$

Since the ultraspherical polynomials are even or odd functions we need to consider only values of $\theta$ in the interval $[0, \pi / 2]$ and prove the following result:

ThEOREM 1. Let $\theta=\theta_{1}$ be the zero of $P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(\cos \theta)$ nearest $\theta=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{(x)}(\cos \theta) \leqslant P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(1)\left\{1+\frac{P_{n}^{(x)}(1)^{\prime}}{\alpha P_{n}^{(x)}(1)}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right)\right\}^{-\alpha / 2}, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \theta_{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=0,1,2, \ldots, 0<\alpha<1$.
Proof. Let $y(x)=P_{n}^{(x)}(\cos \theta)$, where $x=\cos \theta$. Then from the defining equation for ultraspherical polynomials,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d x}\left\{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1+x} y^{\prime}(x)\right\} & =\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{(1+x)} y^{\prime \prime}(x)-2 x(1+x) y^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{x} \\
& =-\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{x} n(n+2 \alpha) y(x)-x y^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1+\alpha} y^{\prime}(x)= & \int_{x}^{1}\left[\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{x} n(n+2 \alpha) y(t)+t y^{\prime}(t)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{x}\right] d t \\
\geqslant & \int_{x}^{1}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{x} d t n(n+2 \alpha) y(x)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{x}^{1} 2 t\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{x} d t y^{\prime}(x) \\
\geqslant & \left\{n(n+2 \alpha)(1-x)^{x+1}(1+x)^{x} y(x)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{x+1} y^{\prime}(x)\right\} /(\alpha+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where use has been made of the convexity of $y(x)$ in the interval $\left[\cos \theta_{1}, 1\right]$. Therefore for these values of $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x) \geqslant \frac{2 n(n+2 \alpha) y(x)}{(1+x)(2 \alpha+1)}=\frac{2}{(1+x)} y(x) \frac{y^{\prime}(1)}{y(1)} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function,

$$
f(x)=1-\frac{y^{2 / x}(x)}{y^{2 / x}(1)}\left[1-\frac{y^{\prime}(1)}{\alpha y(1)}\left(1-x^{2}\right)\right]
$$

has the properties that $f(1)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(x) \leqslant 0$ for $\cos \theta_{1} \leqslant x \leqslant 1$, on using (8). Therefore $f(x) \geqslant 0$ and the theorem follows immediately.

## 2. Uniform Bounds

To extend the bound (7) to the whole interval $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi$, we will attempt to show that it is worse than that given by (1) for all $\theta_{1} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi-\theta_{1}$. This we have found possible for $0.065<\alpha<1$ but for smaller values of $\alpha$ we have had to take a slightly modified bound. Note that for $n=0,1$, the result given by (7) already covers the whole interval so for the remainder of this section we take $n \geqslant 2$. First we need the following result.

Lemma 1. For $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1 ; n=2,3, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)(n+\alpha)^{(1)}{ }^{\alpha} P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(1)}{2^{1-\alpha}}\right]^{2 / \alpha}-\frac{n(n+2 \alpha)}{\alpha(2 \alpha+1)} \geqslant \frac{n^{2}}{4}\left\{\frac{\pi^{1 / \alpha}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 / \alpha}}-\frac{4}{\alpha(2 \alpha+1)}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The left-hand side

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[\frac{\Gamma(n+2 \alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)(n+\alpha)^{(1-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(n+1) 2^{1-\alpha} \Gamma(2 \alpha)}\right]^{2 / \alpha}-\frac{n(n+2 \alpha)}{\alpha(2 \alpha+1)} \\
& \geqslant\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)(n+\alpha)^{(1-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha) 2^{1 \cdots \alpha}(n+2 \alpha)^{1-2 \alpha}}\right]^{2 / \alpha}-\frac{n(n+2 \alpha)}{\alpha(2 \alpha+1)} \\
& \geqslant(n+\alpha)^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{n+\alpha}{n+2 \alpha}\right)^{(2 / \alpha-4)}\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(2 \alpha) 2^{1-\alpha}}\right]^{2 / \alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha(2 \alpha+1)}\right\} \\
& \geqslant \frac{n^{2}}{4}\left\{\frac{\pi^{1 / \alpha}}{\left[\Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^{2 / \alpha}}-\frac{4}{2(2 \alpha+1)}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where inequality (8) of [1] has been used to obtain the second line. There is another result we will have to use in the case when $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and it is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 2. When $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}, u_{n}=\Gamma(n+2 \alpha)(n+\alpha)^{1-2 \alpha} / \Gamma(n+1)$ increases as $n$ increases for $n=2,3,4, \ldots$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{u_{n}}{u_{n+1}} & =\left[1+\frac{(1-2 \alpha)}{n+2 \alpha}\right]\left[1-\frac{1}{n+\alpha+1}\right]^{1-2 \alpha} \\
& <\left[1+\frac{(1-2 \alpha)}{n+2 \alpha}\right]\left[1-\frac{(1-2 \alpha)}{n+\alpha+1}-\frac{\alpha(1-2 \alpha)}{(n+\alpha+1)^{2}}-\frac{\alpha(1-2 \alpha)(1+2 \alpha)}{3(n+\alpha+1)^{3}}\right] \\
& \leqslant 1+\frac{(1-2 \alpha) \alpha}{(n+2 \alpha)(n+\alpha+1)^{2}}\left[\alpha-\left(\frac{1+2 \alpha}{3}\right)\left(\frac{n+2 \alpha}{n+\alpha+1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The R.H.S. is less than one for $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2} ; n=2,3, \ldots$ so $u_{n}$ increases with $n$.
Two more lemmas are needed to extend our bound to the whole interval $0 \leqslant \cos \theta \leqslant 1$.

Lemma 3.

$$
f(\alpha) \equiv \pi^{1 / x} /\left[\Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^{2 / x}
$$

is $a$ decreasing function of $\alpha$ in the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$.
Proof.

$$
d f(\alpha) / d \alpha=f(\alpha)\left\{-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\left[\log \pi-2 \log \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]-\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\Gamma^{\prime}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right\}
$$

For $\frac{3}{4} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1, \quad \log \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)<0$ and $-(2 / \alpha)\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right) / \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \leqslant 0.5 / \alpha$ so that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d \alpha} \leqslant-\frac{1.15}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{0.5}{\alpha} \leqslant 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly for $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \alpha<\frac{3}{4}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d \alpha} \leqslant-\frac{1.15}{\alpha^{2}}-\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(1)}{\Gamma(1)} \leqslant 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the lemma is proved.

Lemma 4. For $\frac{1}{2}>\alpha \geqslant 0.065$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \geqslant \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)}\left\{\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)(1+2 \alpha)(2+\alpha)^{1-2 \alpha}}{2^{1-\alpha}}\right]^{2 / \alpha}-0.503\right\}^{-1} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First take the inequality in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\alpha) & \equiv \Gamma(\alpha+1)(1+2 \alpha)(2+\alpha)^{1-3 \alpha / 2} 2^{\alpha-1} \\
& \geqslant\left\{0.503(2+\alpha)\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}\right)\right\}^{\alpha / 2} \equiv h(\alpha) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \alpha}[1 & \left.+\frac{1}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}\right]^{\alpha / 2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{1}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}\right]^{\alpha / 2}\left\{\log \left[1+\frac{1}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}\right]-\frac{(1+2 \alpha)}{[1+\alpha(\alpha+1)](1+\alpha)}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

For $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \alpha}\left\{\log \left[1+\frac{1}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}\right]-\frac{(1+2 \alpha)}{[1+\alpha(\alpha+1)][1+\alpha]}\right\} \leqslant 0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \alpha}\left[1+\frac{1}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}\right]^{\alpha / 2} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{1}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}\right]^{\alpha / 2}\left\{\log \frac{7}{3}-\frac{16}{21}\right\} \geqslant 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $h(\alpha)$ defined as the R.H.S. of (13) is an increasing function of $\alpha$ in ( $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ). Similarly if $g(\alpha)$ is defined as the L.H.S. of (13), then

$$
\begin{align*}
d g(\alpha) / d \alpha= & \Gamma(\alpha+1)(1+2 \alpha)(2+\alpha)^{11} \quad 3 \times 2 / 2^{x-1}\left\{\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{1+2 \alpha}-\frac{3}{2} \log (2+\alpha)+\frac{(1-3 x / 2)}{(2+\alpha)}+\log _{\mathrm{e}} 2\right\} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the fact that $\left(\Gamma^{\prime}(\alpha+1)\right) /(\Gamma(\alpha+1))$ is a monotonic increasing function of $\alpha$ in the intervals $\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, it is straightforward to prove separately in these two intervals that the right-hand side of (17) is positive. Therefore $g(\alpha)$ as well as $h(\alpha)$ is an increasing function of $\alpha$ in $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Because of these properties, if we can choose a decreasing sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ of values for $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\alpha_{n+1}\right) \geqslant h\left(\alpha_{n}\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the inequality (13) holds in the whole interval $\left[\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n}\right]$.
By numerical evaluation the inequality (13) is satisfied for $\alpha=\alpha_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}$, and (18) is satisfied for $n=0$ if $\alpha_{1}=0.3$. Hence (12) is satisfied in the interval $[0.3,0.5]$. Similarly, (18) holds for $n=1$, if we choose $\alpha_{2}=0.17$ so that now (12) holds in [0.17, 0.5]. Repeating this process we are able to prove (12) for the whole interval $[0.065,0.5]$. We are now in a position to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For $0<\alpha<1$ and $\theta_{1} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi-\theta_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(\cos \theta) \geqslant A_{n}(\cos \theta), \quad n=2,3,4, \ldots \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{n}, B_{n}$ are the bounds to $P_{n}^{(x)}(\cos \theta)$ given by (1) and (3) respectively. Proof.

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n}^{-2 / x}-A_{n}^{-2 / x}= & {\left[P_{n}^{(x)}(1)\right]^{-2 / \alpha}\left\{1-\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right)\right.} \\
& \left.\times\left[\left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)(n+\alpha)^{(1-x)} P_{n}^{(x)}(1)}{2^{1-\alpha}}\right)^{2 / \alpha}-\frac{P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(1)^{\prime}}{\beta P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(1)}\right]\right\} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ is defined in (4). Now $\pi /(n+1)>\theta_{1}>\pi / 2 n$ so that for $\theta_{1} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi-\theta_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \geqslant \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \geqslant\left[\frac{\pi}{2 n}-\frac{\pi^{3}}{48 n^{3}}\right] \geqslant \frac{1.986}{n^{2}}, \quad n=2,3,4, \ldots \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$ we have defined $\beta=\alpha$ and then using Lemma 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}^{-2 / \alpha}-A_{n}^{-2 / x} \leqslant\left[P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(1)\right]^{-2 / \alpha}\left\{1-\frac{1.986}{4}\left[\frac{\pi^{1 / \alpha}}{\left(\Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2 / \alpha}}-\frac{4}{\alpha(2 \alpha+1)}\right]\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

But from Lemma 3,

$$
\begin{align*}
G(\alpha) & \equiv 1+0.4965\left[\frac{4}{\alpha(2 \alpha+1)}-\left(\frac{\pi}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\right] \\
& \leqslant 1+0.4965\left[-4+\frac{4}{2(2 \alpha+1)}\right] \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

and the R.H.S. of (23) is negative for $0.8 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$. Again using Lemma 3 for $\alpha<0.8$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\alpha) \leqslant 1+0.4965\left[\frac{4}{\alpha(2 \alpha+1)}-\left(\frac{\pi}{\Gamma(1.3)^{2}}\right)^{1.25}\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the R.H.S. of (24) is negative for $0.505 \leqslant \alpha<0.8$. Repeating the process once more, we finally prove the R.H.S. of (22) is negative and hence (19) holds for all $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$. When $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ the R.H.S. of (20) will be negative for $\theta_{1} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi-\theta_{1}$ if

$$
1-\frac{1.986}{n^{2}}\left\{\left[\frac{\Gamma(n+2 \alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)(n+\alpha)^{(1-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(n+1) 2^{(1-\alpha)} \Gamma(2 \alpha)}\right]^{2 / \alpha}-\frac{n(n+2 \alpha)}{\beta(2 \alpha+1)}\right\}<0
$$

which will be the case from Lemma 2 if

$$
\begin{gather*}
1-\frac{1.986(n+\alpha)^{2}}{n^{2}}\left\{\left[\frac{\Gamma(2+2 \alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)(2+\alpha)^{1 \cdot 2 x}}{\Gamma(3) 2^{(1-x)} \Gamma(2 \alpha)}\right]^{2 / \alpha}-\frac{1}{\beta(2 \alpha+1)}\right\}<0 .  \tag{25}\\
n=2,3,4, \ldots
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the fact that $(\Gamma(2 \alpha) / \Gamma(\alpha))=\left(2^{(2 \alpha-1)} / \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ it follows after some algebra that (25) holds if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \geqslant \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)}\left\{\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)(1+2 \alpha)(2+\alpha)^{(1-2 x)}}{2^{(1-x)}}\right]^{2 / x}-0.503\right\} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality is satisfied for $\beta=\alpha$ when $0.065 \leqslant \alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ as follows from Lemma 4. For smaller values of $\alpha$ we take $\beta$ equal to the R.H.S. of (26) or equal to $\alpha$ depending on which is the larger. In either case (19) is then satisfied.

The results of Theorems 1 and 2 may be combined to give the uniform bound (3) on $P_{n}^{(x)}(\cos \theta)$ for the whole interval $[0, \pi]$. The only small point to note is that when $0<\alpha<0.065$ and $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \theta_{1}$, the bound (5) may be worsened by replacing the factor $1 / \alpha$ multiplying $\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right)$ by $1 / \beta$ to give the uniform bound (3) since for these values of $\alpha, \beta$ may be greater than $\alpha$.

Corresponding bounds on Bessel functions are given by the following simple corollary.

Corollary. For all real z,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(z)\right| \leqslant|z / 2|^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}\left\{1+z^{2} /[(2 \alpha+1) \beta(\alpha)]\right\}^{-\alpha / 2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $0<\alpha<1$, where $\beta(\alpha)$ is defined in (4).
Proof. We use the well known limit [5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right)^{-v} J_{v}(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-v} P_{n}^{(v, \mu)}(\cos z / n) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}^{(v, \mu)}(x)$ are Jacobi polynomials. If $v=\mu=\alpha-\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{(v, \mu)}(x)=\frac{\Gamma\left(n+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(2 \alpha)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(n+2 \alpha)} P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore setting $v=\alpha-\frac{1}{2}$ in (28) and using (29) with our bound (3) we obtain inequality (27).

## 3. Conclusions

We have generalized the "uniform bounds" obtained by Martin [4] for Legendre polynomials to the case of ultraspherical polynomials. The "nice features" of the former bounds have been preserved except for the small range of values of $\alpha$ close to zero. The problem here is that the bound (5), in the region up to the first zero of $P_{n}^{(\alpha)}(\cos \theta)$, is then "too strong" to be continued to the whole interval $[0, \pi]$. We have chosen to deal with this difficulty by giving up the requirement that the bound has the same derivative as the polynomial itself at $\theta=0$, but there may be other ways of handling the problem.
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